

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/41440

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC(7) : C07K 1/00 US CL : 530/350 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC		
B. FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S. : 530/350 Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched UNIPROT; SWISSPROT; EST; ISSUED PATENTS Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)		
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	CARNINCI, P. ET AL. Medline Database, Direct Submission, Accession No. Q9DJ7, 01 June 2001, see entire alignment.	1, 5-6
X	STRAUSBERG, R.L. ET AL. Medline Database, Direct Submission, Accession No. Q8IYV9, 101 March 2003, see the entire alignment.	1, 5-6
<input type="checkbox"/> Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. <input type="checkbox"/> See patent family annex.		
* Special categories of cited documents: "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier application or patent published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art "&" document member of the same patent family		
Date of the actual completion of the international search 29 July 2005 (29.07.2005)		Date of mailing of the international search report 12 AUG 2005
Name and mailing address of the ISA/US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		Authorized officer <i>Marc J. White</i> Hope A. Robinson Telephone No. 571-272-1600

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/41440

Box No. II Observations where certain claims were found unsearchable (Continuation of item 2 of first sheet)

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2)(a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to subject matter not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
2. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out, specifically:
3. ☐ Claims Nos.:
because they are dependent claims and are not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of Rule 6.4(a).

Box No. III Observations where unity of invention is lacking (Continuation of item 3 of first sheet)

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application, as follows:
Please See Continuation Sheet

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims.
2. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, this Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.
3. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims for which fees were paid, specifically claims Nos.:
4. ☒ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claims Nos.: 1-7

Remark on Protest

☐
☐

The additional search fees were accompanied by the applicant's protest.

No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.
PCT/US04/41440

BOX III. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING

Group I, claim(s) 1-7, drawn to drawn to an isolated polypeptide.

Group II, claim(s) 8, drawn to an isolated nucleic acid.

Group III, claim(s) 9-11, drawn to an antibody.

Group IV, claim(s) 12, drawn to a method of contraception using an antibody.

Group V, claim(s) 13-19, drawn to a method of inhibiting Band 5 protein activity.

Group VI, claim(s) 20-22, drawn to a composition comprising an antibody.

Group VII, claim(s) 23, drawn to a composition comprising a nucleic acid.

Group VIII, claim(s) 24-29, drawn to a method of inhibiting Band 5 protein synthesis.

Group IX, claim(s) 30-41, drawn to a method of identifying a compound that regulates Band 5.

Group X, claim(s) 42, drawn to a method of diagnosing.

Group XI, claim(s) 43, drawn to a method of contraception.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Because under PCT Rule 13.1 applicant is entitled to the first product, method of making and using same and the claimed invention is directed to three different products, protein, antibody and DNA. In addition, the methods as claimed are also directed to different products none of which uses or makes the first product. The DNA and protein share a technical feature, however, no special technical feature is present. Thus, the claimed invention lacks the same or corresponding technical feature.